c) REMARKS

The claims are 48-49, 51, 55-58, 63, 64 and 68-73 with claim 48 being the sole independent claim. In the Advisory Action of October 21, 2004 the Examiner noted that the amended paragraph at page 91 did not identify the line number. This has now been provided. In addition, the proposed amended paragraph at page 191, line 26 has been deleted from the corrected Amendments to the Specification section.

Accordingly, it is believed all the formal objections to the specification have been resolved.

Any obviousness-type double patenting rejection over claims 1-57 of Magome '452 in view of Ohno '103 and Ohba '800 and in view of EP '470 A2 as postulated in the Advisory Action is respectfully traversed. The Examiner has attempted to remedy the defects in the Magome '452 claims by relying on Ohno '103, Ohba '800 and EP '470. However, if it requires the disclosure of three patents to remedy the defects in the claims of Magome '452, it cannot be said that the present claims are a mere obvious variation. The present claims are not a mere unjustified extension of Magome '452, since they would be restricted out of Magome if presented therein. The claims should be allowed and the case passed to issue.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter Saxon

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 24,947

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 461683v1